

Report of The Chief Officer of Parks and Countryside

Report to West (Inner) Area Committee

Date: 20th February 2013

Subject: Annual Report – for the Parks and Countryside Service

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): Armley Bramley & Stanningley		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:		

Summary of main issues

1. The report provides an area profile of key assets, information on park usage and a customer based perspective of the quality of the assets and services provided.
2. It highlights the current progress towards Leeds Quality Park (LQP) status for community parks in the area. It provides the costs of achieving and retaining LQP status in community parks up to the year 2020.
3. The report details capital improvements in community parks, sport pitches and fixed play in the area for the last 12 months and planned improvements to be delivered in the next 12 months.
4. It gives a detailed breakdown of events and volunteering in the area.
5. It gives an overview of the Streetscene Grounds Maintenance contract considering performance for grass cutting in 2012. It sets out the specification and how this is developing alongside suggesting increased engagement in performance monitoring.

Recommendations

6. The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised.

1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 This report seeks to further develop the relationship between the Parks and Countryside service and the West Inner Area Committee, as agreed at Executive Board.
- 1.2 It provides an overview of the service and sets out some of the challenges faced along with key performance management initiatives. In addition it seeks to provide a positive way forward for delivering the extended role of the Area Committee.
- 1.3 In particular it sets out at an area level progress made in attaining Leeds Quality Park standard. It also sets out investment needs to attain LQP standards and to retain them.

2 Background information

Service Description

- 2.1 Leeds City Council has one of the largest fully inclusive local authority Parks and Countryside services, managing almost 4,000 hectares of parks and green space. This includes 7 major parks, 62 community parks and 95 recreation grounds and 391 local green spaces, which include 144 playgrounds and 500 sports facilities ranging from skateboard parks to golf courses, and which play host to 600 events annually. The service also manages a nursery which produces over 4 million bedding plants each year, 97 allotment sites, over 800km of Public Right of Way (PROW), and 156 nature conservation sites, as well as 22 cemeteries and three crematoria.
- 2.2 The 2009 Parks and Countryside residents survey showed that the service attracts almost 68 million visits each year from Leeds' residents alone, and that approximately 96% of these are regular park users. These range from anybody using a park for informal recreation (e.g. walking, observing nature) to people who take part in formal activities (e.g. football clubs, conservation volunteers or to attend events). The user surveys also evidenced that 10m visits are made to our green space by Young People (12-19) compared to 3.6m by Children (5-11).

Description of Priority Advisory Function

- 2.3 The priority advisory function for Area Committees relates to community parks provision that have a wide range of facilities, including general recreation, sports pitches, play and formal and informal horticultural facilities.
- 2.4 Where developments are less significant or only impact on one site then ward members and community groups will be informed and consulted using established procedures. It is important to note that good levels of engagement with ward members exist and this function seeks to enhance this engagement.

3 Main issues

Area Profile of the Service

- 3.1 The following table summarises community green space assets managed by Parks and Countryside in the West Inner Area Committee:

Asset	Quantity
Community parks	6
Playing Pitches:	
Football	18
Rugby League	7
Rugby Union	1
Bowling greens	4
Playgrounds	7
Multi-use games areas	3
Skate parks	1

Community Parks

- 3.2 The service undertook a residents survey using the Citizen's Panel methodology during the summer of 2012. Whilst this has provided some city wide data, more work is required to provide sufficient data at an area committee level. Options to undertake additional surveys during 2013 are currently being examined to provide an updated dataset for usage and satisfaction.
- 3.3 Analysis from the 2009 residents survey was carried out relevant to the 6 community parks in the area which are;

Site Name	Annual Number of Visits	Total Annual Visits to West Inner Community Parks is 3.75m approx.
Armley Park	338,143	
Bramley Falls Wood	744,476	
Bramley Park	1,194,237	
Gotts Park	531,299	
Rodley Park Rec Ground	284,075	
Stanningley Park	648,914	

- 3.4 The residents survey provides significant insight into the users of community parks, demographics of users, how they get there and what they do. A detailed insight of each community park is given in appendix 1. The key analysis points are;
- Approximately 71% of visitors are adults with 29% children and young people.
 - There are a wide range of reasons for visiting but nearly all visitors at some point go for relaxation or exercise. There is significant differences in reasons for visiting at some of the parks in the area.
 - The majority of visitors travel to the park on foot (85%) of which 67% take less than 10 minutes to travel there.
 - Of the 12% who visit by car 80% take less than 10 minutes to get there.

- 46% of visitors go to community parks either every day or on most days, whilst 79% go at least once a week.

3.5 Parks and Countryside provide annual pitch hire for sports teams in the area. The table below shows the number of teams with current bookings playing on pitches in the area; *(note this excludes clubs who have a long term lease in place)*

Age Group	No of Teams
Open Age	20
Juniors	33

Volunteering in the Parks and Countryside Service

3.6 The Service continues to focus on increasing the number of volunteers and groups working in the area. There has been a significant increase in the value of activities which take place particularly in the area of corporate volunteering. Our emphasis for the next 12 months will be to :

- Seek to increase corporate volunteering working in partnership with Leeds Ahead.
- Continue to improve involvement with the many “in bloom” groups in Leeds.
- It is an ambition to have a volunteer group for every community park where there is a site based gardener.

3.7 It is estimated that volunteers across all groups contribute nearly 400 days of voluntary work in the West Inner area over a 12 month period. The tables below give details of works undertaken in West Inner since November 2011 and the active groups in the area Committee;

Table 1 - Work undertaken by volunteers working with the Rangers;

Site	Organisation	Task	No. of Vol Days
Calverley Lane Picnic Site	Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	Hedge laying	17.1
Newley Meadow & Picnic Site	Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	Planting wildflower plugs	6.4
		Step construction, picnic bench removal, cutting back vegetation	6.9
Total			30.4

Table 2 - Corporate volunteer actions;

Site	Organisation	Task	No. of Vol Days
Armley Park	Corporate group	Weeding beds, path edging, maintain bowling green.	9.0
Bramley Falls Wood Park	LCC - HR	Balsam bashing, footpath cut back.	5.7
	Corporate group	painting fence around car park.	5.0
Newley Meadow & Picnic Site	Premier Farnell	Install picnic bench, clear vegetation from entrances, paths and seating, install steps, painting signs and fencing, litter picking.	23.6

Site	Organisation	Task	No. of Vol Days
Rodley Park Recreation Ground	Premier Farnell	Pruning trees and shrubs encroaching paths.	3.6
Total			46.9

Table 3 - Summary of the groups who are active in the West Inner area :
(Days working on Parks & Countryside land)

Group Name	Number of Volunteers	Estimated Volunteer Days
Armley Common Rights Trust	5	10
Bramley Fall Residents Association	10	20
Friends of Bramley Park	10	30
Leeds Parks Volunteers	4	78
Leeds Voluntary Footpath Rangers	6	130
Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	12	216
Total	47	484

Table 4 - Existing in bloom groups within the West Inner area;

In Bloom Group	Number of Volunteers	Award Won (Yorkshire in Bloom)	Estimated Volunteer Days
Bramley	6		160
Total			160

Highlighted groups are newly formed in bloom groups in 2012

Events

- 3.8 The bookings and licensing team has introduced improvements to the application process for events that occur on parks. They are providing greater assistance in helping community groups organise events with particular emphasise on ensuring legal and safety requirements are met but do not deter groups and organisations from organising activities. The table below shows a list of events held in the outer West area in 2012:

Site Name	Month	Event	Total
Armley Park	May	Healthy Living Network Leeds	1
	August	Breeze on Tour	1
Bramley Park	July	Bramley Carnival	1
	October	Bramley Music Festival	1
	November	Bramley Park Bonfire	1
Hall Lane Recreation Ground	June	Jubilee School Funday	1
Raynville Crescent POS	October	I Love West Leeds Cinema Screening	1
Total			7

Community Parks – Leeds Quality Park Status

- 3.9 The Parks and Green Space Strategy was approved at Executive Board in February 2009 and sets out the vision and priorities to 2020. One of the key proposals contained in the strategy is the aspiration for all community parks to meet the Green

Site	Year Assessed	Welcoming Place	Healthy, Safe, Secure	Clean, Well Maintained	Sustainability	Conservation / Heritage	Community Involvement	Marketing	Meets Standard?
Gotts Park	2010								No
Rodley Park Rec Ground	2012								No
Stanningley Park	2010								No

Key:

Meets Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria	
Below Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria	

3.12 From this table, there are no parks identified that meet the Leeds Quality Park Standard in the area. This is identical to the previous Area Committee report.

3.13 The residents survey in 2009 enables an assessment of visitor numbers and satisfaction rating (scored out of 10) for a number of criteria for each park, set out in the following table:

Site	Design and Appearance	Cleanliness and Maintenance	Ease to Get Around	Range of Facilities	Horticultural Maintenance	Nature Conservation	Facilities for Families	Sports Facilities	Overall Impression
Armley Park	8.0	5.3	8.7	6.0	6.7	7.3	6.0	6.0	6.7
Bramley Falls Wood	8.2	7.8	8.9	5.6	8.0	7.9	6.9	6.2	7.5
Bramley Park	6.5	6.3	7.9	5.0	7.1	6.6	5.4	5.8	6.2
Gotts Park	8.5	8.2	9.0	6.1	8.4	7.5	6.9	7.1	8.2
Rodley Park Rec Ground	6.6	6.2	7.1	5.1	6.7	7.1	5.1	4.9	6.9
Stanningley Park	6.0	6.0	8.2	4.5	7.6	6.7	4.7	5.2	5.6

Key:

Generally meets LQP expectations	7.0 - 10	
Generally below LQP expectations	0.0 – 6.9	

This table broadly correlates with the professional audit undertaken for the Leeds Quality Parks assessment set out in paragraph 3.11. It is noted however that both Gotts Park and Bramley Falls Wood score generally higher than the score required to meet LQP expectations. There are however issues identified with the range of facilities, facilities for families and sports facilities offered across all of the parks.

Playing Pitches

3.14 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate sport facilities in parks. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating of Sports facilities	2009 (West Inner)	2006 (West Inner)
Fair to very good	62.3%	64.4%
Poor or very poor	37.7%	35.6%

The results show a slight decrease in those rating sports facilities as fair to very good. This data is related to the table set out in paragraph 3.13.

Fixed Play

3.15 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate facilities for children and their parents. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating facilities for children	2009 (West Inner)	2006 (West Inner)
Fair to very good	64.5%	68.9%
Poor or very poor	35.5%	31.1%

Results show a slight reduction in those who rated facilities as fair to very good.

3.16 Improvements to community parks during 2012 are as follows:

- Bramley Park – General park improvements (£178k).

3.17 The following table provides a perspective on the minimum level of investment required to achieve the LQP standard for the five remaining parks. It also includes the level of reinvestment required across all the community parks in order to sustain the LQP pass up to 2020;

Site Name	Cost to Achieve (excluding fixed play)	Reinvestment (excluding fixed play)
Armley Park	£100,000	
Bramley Falls Wood	£93,000	
Gotts Park	£54,000	
Rodley Park Rec Ground	£106,000	
Stanningley Park	£157,479	
Total to achieve LQP	£510,479	
Average annual reinvestment		£20,868
Total reinvestment to 2020		£187,813
Overall Total Investment to 2020		£698,292

3.18 Reinvestment levels are estimated according to the expected lifespan of equipment and infrastructure as set out below;

Description	Timescale for Recurring Investment
Signage and interpretation	5 years
Fixed play (including MUGA's/skate parks)	10 years
Bins and benches	15 years
Paths and infrastructure	25 years
Landscaping	25 years

3.19 Planned and proposed improvements for the next 12 months are;

- Rodley Park Recreation Ground – Removal and landscaping of old bowling green. New gates and fencing with interpretation. Foot path and drainage works. New park infrastructure (£77k).
- Gotts Park – Interpretation, woodland access improvements (£81k).
- Dunkirk Hill – woodland thinning, path works, fencing and park furniture (£88k).
- Bramley Falls Wood – 1 item of play equipment replaced (£10k).
- Stanningley Park - 1 item of play equipment replaced (£10k).

3.20 In terms of fixed play, work has been undertaken to set out refurbishment requirements over a 10 year rolling programme in support of the outcomes of the Fixed Play Strategy. The average cost of a new playground is currently about £120k; Multi-use games areas and skateparks are slightly cheaper on average at about £90k each. The table below shows the capital investment required on an ongoing basis to fund the area committees existing fixed play sites;

Fixed Play Type	No.	Total Replacement Cost £'s	Required Average Annual Spend £'s
Play Areas	7	840,000	84,000
Multi Use games Areas	3	270,000	27,000
Skate Parks	1	90,000	9,000
Totals		1,200,000	120,000

Area Committee funding for additional on site gardeners

3.21 A number of area committees provide additional funding for gardeners to increase site based presence at parks in the area.

3.22 Since the introduction of site based gardeners, analysis shows that complaints to both Ward Councillors and the Parks and Countryside Service have declined on sites with increased daily presence. In addition, the service has observed an increase in the number of residents using parks and open spaces which is backed up by the residents survey data.

3.23 The site based gardeners increase working relationships with users, local residents and community groups. These site based staff further increase users satisfaction and support the aspiration to increase volunteer groups working within parks.

Streetscene Grounds Maintenance

3.24 Following a procurement exercise the streetscene grounds maintenance contract was awarded to Continental Landscapes Ltd (CLL) with effect from 1st January 2012. This contract covers sites that are located alongside the highway network or amongst residential streets managed by the council's Arms Length Management Organisations (ALMOs and BITMO). The specification requires the contractor to undertake litter removal from sites they maintain at each visit supporting the council's wider efforts to maintain clean streets. The table below shows the asset breakdown of contract items for the West Inner area committee:

Asset Type	Annual Visits	Unit	Measure
Amenity Grass	13	M ²	517,221
Premium Grass	26	M ²	25,952
Rough Grass	3	M ²	3,215
Rough Linear	3	M	615
Shrub Beds	2	M ²	14,589
Hedges	3	M	154
Total			561,746

3.25 Despite 2012 being one of the wettest summers ever recorded the contractor has completed grass cutting operations as required by the contract specification. During June the specification was revised to increase the mowing frequency on grass plots adjacent to sheltered housing and this has proven to be very successful in addressing issues of grass length and overspill of cuttings in these areas. Regular monitoring of the contractors work is undertaken with a target sample size of 10%. Analysis of city wide performance for the 2012 mowing season shows that the contractor achieved an average failure rate of less than 1%.

3.26 The Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny Board Working Group examined the contract during the summer and produced a number of recommendations that are currently being considered or have already been introduced. These include:

- Increasing frequencies to 16 grass cuts and 6 shrub/rose visits.
- That any proposed removal of shrub/rose beds are consulted on with ward members and parish/town councils prior to removal.
- That options to increase 'joined up working' with locality management are explored.
- To examine options to increase efficiencies by better utilisation of contractor resources during the winter.
- That parish and town councils are encouraged to engage in contract performance management.
- That an improved communications strategy is developed.
- That area committees are provided with performance information relevant to the area.

- That contract management efficiencies are sought alongside increased consistency of approach.
- Establishing funding to address problem sites until ownership can be established.

3.27 From 1st September 2012 management of the contract passed to Parks and Countryside and work has commenced to restructure the contract team to achieve increased coordination and efficiency from grounds maintenance and weed spraying contracts. It is proposed that officers attending the area committee environment sub groups will provide regular updates on performance and any proposed changes to the contract.

3.28 As a result of the ALMO review currently underway, arrangements regarding the provision of ALMO elements of the contract may change.

Coordinated Working with Environmental Services

3.29 The Parks & Countryside Service move to the Environments & Neighbourhoods Directorate has given opportunities to improve collaborative working. In particular improvements in horticultural land management, cleansing and more efficient use of resources in regard to;

- Co-ordination of weed spraying activities with the grounds maintenance contract with a consistent approach to monitoring.
- Traffic Management arrangements co-ordinated to minimise costs and disruption of the highway.
- Litter collection in the vicinity of community parks, and as part of normal operations site based gardeners undertake an initial litter pick of the park which is being expanded to include some areas outside the curtilage of the park. Conversely, street cleansing staff now assist with emptying litter bins in parks on a weekend when parks staff are not always present.
- Work is underway to map all maintainable ginnels and establish work requirements to draw up an annual co-ordinated work schedule. Work is already co-ordinated to undertake weed-spraying where the locality team have already cut back vegetation, and removed detritus from the surface of the path.
- Locality Managers have led on consultation and worked with Parks and Countryside on developing proposals around dog control orders in Leeds.
- The Parks and Countryside service has a number of welfare facilities and yard space available in most community parks distributed throughout the city which has provided an opportunity for the locality based teams to use these facilities for staff welfare provision and as operational bases.

Parks & Countryside Key Performance Indicators

3.30 The following table highlights key performance indicators relevant to the service;

PI Code	Description	2010/11 Actual	2011/12 Actual	2012/13 Target	2013/14 Target
LKI-GFI / CP-PC50 / EM38	The percentage of parks and countryside sites assessed internally that meet the Green Flag criteria	23% (Target 23%)	26.2% (Target 26.2%)	29.4%	32.6%
LKI-PCP 22	Overall user satisfaction with Parks and Countryside (from the user survey)	N/A	N/A	7/10	N/A
New	The percentage of parks and countryside community parks which meet LQP status	33.9%	38.7% Target (40%)	47.5%	55%

4 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 Close liaison with community and ward members is already in existence, utilising a variety of mechanisms, for example through residents' surveys, multi-agency meetings and community forums. In addition volunteers, Friends of groups and local residents are regularly consulted on local projects with input on design and physical implementation of a wide range of site improvements.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 This report does not have an impact on equality and diversity. Further information is available on analysis of the residents survey 2009 specifically regarding equality issues on request.

4.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 The contents of this report set out how the Executive Board requirements can be met by taking a more proactive approach to involve and engage Area Committees in matters relating to community parks.

4.3.2 The information within the report contributes significantly to the sustainable economy and culture city priority plan.

4.4 Resources and Value for Money

4.4.1 The central government's Comprehensive Spending Review has had significant impact on local government budgets and it is anticipated that the budget allocation for Parks and Countryside will continue to be very challenging.

4.4.2 The service undertakes to sustain and develop the services provided to the public and has traditionally used a number of sources of financial support to achieve developments. These include grants from bodies such as Green Leeds Ltd,

Sustrans, Natural England, National Lottery funding and developer contributions via section 106 (S106) funds.

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 This report has no legal implications and is not subject to call in. There is no information which is confidential or exempt.

4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 There are no significant risk management issues contained within the report, its conclusions and recommendations.

5 Conclusions

- 5.1 Community green space contributes in many ways to the delivery of the Corporate Priority Plan. They provide places for relaxation, escape, exercise and recreation. They bring communities together and make a positive contribution to the local economy, education, improve public health and well-being, and generally make a better place to live, work and visit.
- 5.2 Improvements to community parks, fixed play and playing pitches remain a priority, and there already has been investment made to deliver improvements along with further schemes identified. Issues are being addressed through the Parks and Green Space Strategy along with implementation of the Fixed Play Strategy and Playing Pitch Strategy.
- 5.3 Community engagement remains a key activity for the service with regular correspondence, attendance at meetings and briefings, along with more localised consultation where required. As described at 3.2 options to undertake additional surveys during 2013 are currently being examined to provide an updated dataset for usage and satisfaction.
- 5.4 A programme of activities is planned for which updates and reports can be provided to the Area Committee to help inform, consult and influence community green space management.

6 Recommendations

6.1 The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised.

7 Background documents

- 7.1 Area Committee Roles, Outer West Area Committee, 4th July 2011.
- 7.2 Annual Report for Parks and Countryside Service in West Inner Area Committee, West Inner Area Committee, 19th October 2011.
- 7.3 Parks and Greenspace Strategy, Executive Board, February 2009.

Appendix 1: Detailed Residents Survey Information

1.1 Total Number of Annual Visits

	Community Parks	Other P&C Sites	Total
West Inner	3,741,143	373,544	4,114,687

1.2 Reasons for Visiting – respondents select their five main reasons (The 24 choices have been grouped in this table)

Reason	Armley Park %	Bramley Falls Wood %	Bramley Park %	Gotts Park %	Rodley Park Rec Ground %	Stanningley Park %	West Inner Total %
Exercise	73	85	71	89	91	86	79
Play	36	54	45	42	55	61	48
Dog walking	18	50	29	32	45	21	31
Enjoy the surroundings	36	46	26	58	64	43	39
Family outings	41	46	26	16	55	29	32
Relaxation	82	100	75	100	55	100	94
See Wildlife	18	50	12	32	18	14	22
Sport related	32	12	12	11	0	36	17
Other	5	12	11	0	9	7	8
Events	5	4	17	0	0	4	8

1.3 Age Profile of Visitors

Site	Age 20 – 39	Age 40 – 59	Age 60+
Armley Park	42%	23%	35%
Bramley Falls Wood	32%	44%	24%
Bramley Park	42%	35%	23%
Gotts Park	43%	33%	24%
Rodley Park Rec Ground	23%	69%	8%
Stanningley Park	41%	37%	22%
West Inner Total	39%	37%	24%

How visitors get to the parks and how long it takes to get there

1.4 Visitors on Foot – Journey Time

Site	% of visitors on foot	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins	30+ mins
Armley Park	82%	58%	28%	14%	0%
Bramley Falls Wood	73%	53%	42%	0%	5%
Bramley Park	85%	63%	25%	10%	2%
Gotts Park	95%	72%	17%	6%	6%
Rodley Park Rec Ground	100%	72%	28%	0%	0%
Stanningley Park	86%	83%	17%	0%	0%
West Inner Total	85%	67%	25%	6%	2%

1.5 Visitors by Car - Journey Time

Site	% of visitors by car	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins
Armley Park	18%	100%	0%	0%
Bramley Falls Wood	19%	60%	40%	0%
Bramley Park	11%	72%	14%	14%
Gotts Park	5%	100%	0%	0%
Rodley Park Rec Ground	0%	~	~	~
Stanningley Park	14%	100%	0%	0%
West Inner Total	12%	80%	15%	5%

1.6 How long do visitors stay. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

Time	Summer Stay		Winter Stay	
	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday
Less than 30 Minutes	14%	19%	29%	43%
30 minutes to 1 hour	41%	51%	40%	42%
1 to 2 hours	24%	20%	18%	3%
2 to 4 hours	15%	7%	3%	3%
4 or more hours	2%	1%	0%	0%
Do not visit	4%	2%	10%	11%

1.7 How often do visitors go. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

	Summer	Winter
Every Day	18%	12%
Most Days	28%	20%
Once or Twice a week	33%	25%
Once every two weeks	11%	15%
Once a month	9%	17%
Seldom or never	1%	11%

1.8 Information taken from comments made in the survey.

Site	General satisfaction comments	What would make you stay longer or encourage more use	Any other comments
Armley Park	Generally satisfied comments. Basketball area needs replacing/repairing.	Café/Kiosk for refreshments. More things to do (particularly teenagers).	Several comments that they like their community park.
Bramley Falls Wood	Dog fouling issues. Lack of toilets.	No recurring themes.	~
Bramley Park	Some concerns over safety issues and anti-social behaviour. In general most facilities the park has need upgrading and improving. Regular comment about broken glass in the play area.	Refreshment facilities. Generally improved facilities and more to do.	Improved safety and facilities.
Gotts Park	No recurring themes.	Resurfacing of paths. Tidying up of woodland areas.	~
Rodley Park Rec Ground	More shrubs and flower beds. Drainage issues.	Improve the area of the old bowling green.	~

Site	General satisfaction comments	What would make you stay longer or encourage more use	Any other comments
Stanningley Park	Dog fouling. Graffiti and vandalism within the play area.	Multi use games area. Bigger play area it is often overcrowded.	~